Joan Neuleib 7198 S. Hwy 191

## 5720 '99 MAY 24 A10:39

Safford, Az. 85546-912

May 17,1999

Dockets Management Branch(HFA-305)

Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061 Rockvillw, Maryland 20852

Re: Docket #98N-1038, Irradiation in the Production, Processing, and Handling of Food

To whom it may concern:

I support the recommendation by the Center for Science in the Public Imterest regarding labeling of irradiated foods: "any foods, or any foods containing ingredients that have been treated by irradiation, should be labeled with a written statement on the principal display panel indicating such treatment. The statement should be easy to read and placed in close proximity to the name of the food and accompanied by the intermational symbol. If the food is unpackaged, this information should be clearly displayed on a poster in plain view and adjacent to where the product is displayed for sale.

Like other labels, irradiation labels are required by FDA to be truthful and not missleading. I believe that the terms"treated with radiation" or "treated by irradiation" should be retained. Any phrase involving the word "pasteurization" is misleading because pasteurization is an entirely different process of rapid heating and colling.

I recognize the redura as information regarding a material fact of food processing. The require ent for irradiation discloser (both label and radura) should not expire at any time in the future. The material fact of processing remains. Even if some consumers become familiar with the radure, new consumers (e.g., young people, immigrants) will not be. The symbol should be clearly understandable at the point of purchase for everyone. If there is no label, consumers will be misled into believ ng the food has not irradiated.

Iurge you to place the comments received on the Internet so that the public can be informed about who is participating in this comment process.

Sincerely,

JLN

Joan Neuleil
C 2531

